MS-01-00?

arXiv:math-ph/0110012v1 9 Oct 2001

Functional Equations and Poincare Invariant Mechanical Systems

J.G.B. Byatt-Smith* and H. W. Braden†
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
The University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh, UK

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We study the following functional equation that has arisen in the context of mechanical systems invariant under the Poincaré algebra:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \prod_{j\neq i} f\left(x_i - x_j\right) = 0, \qquad n \geq 2.$$

New techniques are developed and the general solution within a certain class of functions is given. New solutions are found.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 39B32 30D05 33E05

Key Words: Functional Equation, Mechanics

---

*E-mail:Byatt@ed.ac.uk
†E-mail:hwb@ed.ac.uk

1 Introduction

The differential equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ f(u) & f(v) & f(w) \\ f'(u) & f'(v) & f'(w) \end{vmatrix} = 0, \text{ subject to } u+v+w=0, \tag{1.1}$$

is one form of the first of a series of differential equations that can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \prod_{j \neq i} f(x_i - x_j) = 0, \qquad n \geq 2, \tag{1.2}$$

subject to the constraint that f is an even function. These equations, which have appeared in the context of constructing mechanical systems with certain invariances, are the focus of this paper. (We will later review these connections between functional equations and mechanical systems.) When $n = 2$, (1.2) gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left(f(x_1 - x_2) f(x_1 - x_3)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left(f(x_2 - x_3) f(x_2 - x_1)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\left(f(x_3 - x_1) f(x_3 - x_2)\right) = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Using the evenness of f we may express this as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left(f(x_1 - x_2) f(x_3 - x_1)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left(f(x_2 - x_3) f(x_1 - x_2)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\left(f(x_3 - x_1) f(x_2 - x_3)\right) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Equation (1.1) can be written in this form if we put $u = x_1 - x_2, v = x_2 - x_3$ and $w = x_3 - x_1$, which automatically satisfies the constraint, although the assumption of evenness is not required.

Braden and Byatt-Smith [2] proved, as part of a more general theorem, that the complete solution set for the function f which satisfies (1.1) is

$$f(u) = a + bu \text{ or } a + b\, e^{cu} \tag{1.5a}$$

or

$$f(u) = a + b\, \wp(cu + d, g_2, g_3). \tag{1.5b}$$

Here $\wp$ is the Weierstrass $\wp-$function. Equation (1.5b) has six constants associated with it, namely $\{a, b, c, d, g_2, g_3\}$, where g_2 and g_3 are the two constants which relate to the two periods of $\wp(z)$, and d is one third of any period. However $\wp(z)$ satisfies the equation ${\wp'}^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2\wp - g_3$, with $z^2\wp(z) \to 1$ as $z \to 0$. Hence $\wp$ satisfies the scaling law $\wp(cu, g_2, g_3) \equiv c^{-2}\wp\left(u, c^4 g_2, c^6 g_3\right)$, so that without loss of generality we may take $c = 1$ in (1.5b). The solution set represented by (1.5a), which is a subset of (1.5b), does not require the constraint $u + v + w = 0$ to be satisfied and is the general solution of the differential equation

$$f' f''' - {f''}^2 = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

The solution set (1.5b) only satisfies (1.1) provided the constraint is satisfied and is the general solution of

$${f'}^2 + Af^3 + Bf^2 + Cf + D = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

or, upon eliminating the arbitrary constants, A, B, C and D,

$${f'}^2 f^{(v)} - 3f'f''f^{(iv)} + 3{f''}^2 f''' - f'{f'''}^2 = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

When we consider (1.5b) as the general solution of (1.7) or (1.8), d appears as an arbitrary constant. However if (1.5b) is also to satisfy (1.1) then d is not arbitrary and by substituting the solution back into (1.1) can be shown to be either zero or any integer multiple of one third of any period of $\wp(z)$. A simpler proof that all of the solutions of (1.1) are contained in the solution set of (1.5), subject to the above condition on d, can be obtained by eliminating the functions $f(v)$ and $f(w)$ by taking suitable combinations of derivatives of (1.1). At various points in the proof the equation factorises to give (1.6) or (1.7) as factors. (See Appendix A.)

For the last 15 years the nature of the solutions to (1.2) has remained open. One can show [13] that (1.5b), with $d = 0$ to ensure the evenness of f, satisfy equation (1.2) and it has been conjectured that such were the only solutions. Though the method of eliminating functions just noted above for the case of (1.1) should be applicable in the general case, the algebra involved to completely define the solution set is quite considerable. In the case of (1.2) when $n \geq 3$ the amount of algebra appears to be so large that even a Maple calculation cannot handle the details necessary to provide a definition of the solution set. Here we shall develop new techniques to handle the equation. Our main result contains a surprise. To describe this let us introduce the following:

Definition 1 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of meromorphic functions $f(z)$ whose only singularity on the real axis is a double pole at the origin, and let $\mathcal{A}_p$ denote the class of periodic functions $f(z)$ whose period is p (where p is real) and whose only singularities on the real axis are double poles at $z = n\,p$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Theorem 1 *For functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}_p$ the general even solution of (1.2) is:*
a) for all even $n \geq 2$ given by (1.5b) with $d = 0$ while
b) for odd $n \geq 3$ there are in addition to the solutions (1.5b) with $d = 0$ the following:

$$h_1(z) = \sqrt{(\wp(z)-e_2)(\wp(z)-e_3)} = \frac{\sigma_2(z)\sigma_3(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} = \frac{\theta_3(v)\theta_4(v)}{\theta_1^2(v)}\frac{\theta_1'^2(0)}{4\omega^2\theta_3(0)\theta_4(0)} = b\frac{\mathrm{dn}(u)}{\mathrm{sn}^2(u)},$$

$$h_2(z) = \sqrt{(\wp(z)-e_1)(\wp(z)-e_3)} = \frac{\sigma_1(z)\sigma_3(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} = \frac{\theta_2(v)\theta_4(v)}{\theta_1^2(v)}\frac{\theta_1'^2(0)}{4\omega^2\theta_2(0)\theta_4(0)} = b\frac{\mathrm{cn}(u)}{\mathrm{sn}^2(u)},$$

$$h_3(z) = \sqrt{(\wp(z)-e_1)(\wp(z)-e_2)} = \frac{\sigma_1(z)\sigma_2(z)}{\sigma^2(z)} = \frac{\theta_2(v)\theta_3(v)}{\theta_1^2(v)}\frac{\theta_1'^2(0)}{4\omega^2\theta_2(0)\theta_3(0)} = b\frac{\mathrm{cn}(u)\,\mathrm{dn}(u)}{\mathrm{sn}^2(u)}.$$

Here

$$\sigma_\alpha(z) = \frac{\sigma(z+\omega_\alpha)}{\sigma(\omega_\alpha)}e^{-z\zeta(\omega_\alpha)}, \qquad u = \sqrt{e_1-e_3}\,z, \qquad v = \frac{z}{2\omega}, \qquad b = e_1 - e_3,$$

with $\omega_1 = \omega$, $\omega_2 = -\omega - \omega'$ and $\omega_3 = \omega'$, and we have given representations in terms of the Weierstrass elliptic functions, theta functions and the Jacobi elliptic functions [16]. For appropriate ranges of z the solutions are real. These exhaust the even periodic solutions of (1.2) and their degenerations yield all the even solutions with only a double pole at $x = 0$ on the real axis. When $n = 2, 3$ the theorem can be proved without the assumption that $f(z) \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{A}_p$ provided it is assumed that f is meromorphic with a double pole at the origin. We also conjecture this latter assumption is all that is required for $n \geq 4$ but have been unable to prove this. The surprise is the appearance of these new solutions for odd n, which in turn yield new Poincaré invariant mechanical systems.

Our paper is arranged as follows. For completeness in §2 we will describe the origin of (1.2) and of the several connections between functional equations and mechanical systems. We then turn to methods for finding the solution set of (1.2). In §3 two methods using a series solution introduced in Braden and Byatt-Smith [2] are discussed. These methods yield either a Laurent series for the solution set or a set of differential equations, whose common solution the solution set must satisfy. The advantage of these methods is that they define the solution set, or the differential equations that the set must satisfy, for (1.2) when $n = 2$ or $n = 3$. Even though these methods appear intractable for larger values of n, the equalities derived for $n = 2$ and 3 turn out to be sufficient to define the solution set for all n. In order to establish this we require a new method, valid for all n. This is provided by the *Fourier transform method.* We develop this method for (1.1) in §4 and §5 and for (1.2) for all n in §6 and §7. In so doing we prove theorem 1 and define completely these new set of solutions.

2 Some Mechanical Systems

Some years ago Ruijsenaars and Schneider [13] initiated the study of mechanical systems exhibiting an action of Poincaré algebra

$$\{H, B\} = P, \qquad \{P, B\} = H, \qquad \{H, P\} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Here H is the Hamiltonian of the system generating time-translations, P is a space-translation generator and B the generator of boosts. The models they discovered were found to posses other nice features: they were in fact integrable and a quantum version of them naturally existed. These models also appear in various field theoretic contexts (see [5]). Ruijsenaars and Schneider began with the ansatz for a system of $n+1$ particles interacting on the line,

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \cosh p_j \prod_{k\neq j} F(x_j - x_k), \qquad P = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \sinh p_j \prod_{k\neq j} F(x_j - x_k)$$

and

$$B = -\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} x_j.$$

With this ansatz and the canonical Poisson bracket $\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ the first two Poisson brackets of (2.1) involving the boost operator B are automatically satisfied. Supposing further that $F(x) = F(-x)$, then the final Poisson bracket is equivalent to the functional equation

$$\{H, P\} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \partial_j \prod_{k\neq j} F^2(x_j - x_k) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

With $f(x) = F^2(x)$ this is precisely (1.2), and so solutions of this equation (with even square root) yield Poincaré invariant mechanical systems. At the time Ruijsenaars and Schneider were able to to show (1.5b) (with $d = 0$) gave solutions to these equations for all n. These solutions in fact yield $n+1$ independent, mutually Poisson commuting conserved quantities, and so are a completely integrable mechanical system. A scaling limit of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model yields the Calogero-Moser system with Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i^2 + \frac{1}{6}\sum_{i\neq j} \wp\,(q_i - q_j) \tag{2.3}$$

which is another well-studied completely integrable system [15].

We note that many connections exist between functional equations and integrable quantum and classical systems [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12]. Functional equation (1.1) (without any assumptions on the parity of the function f) arises for example when characterising quantum mechanical potentials whose ground state wavefunction (of a given form) is factorisable [10, 14]. More recently it has been shown to characterise the Calogero-Moser system [1]. Several functional equations appearing in this setting and whose general solutions have still to be found are given in [4].

3 Series Solution Approaches

We shall now describe two methods based on a series approximation for studying (1.2).

Method 1: Obtaining a Series Solution.

One method of attempting to prove the conjecture is to assume all the $x_i, i = 1 \cdots n+1$, are small and of the same order, so that we write write $x_i = t\zeta_i$. Then we assume that all the even functions, such as $f(t\zeta)$ can be expressed as a power series in t with ζ as an order one parameter, in the form

$$f(t\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j c_{2j-2} (t\zeta)^{2j-2}. \tag{3.1}$$

The constants c_j are given by $c_j = 1$, if $j < 0$ and $c_j = 1/j!$, if $j \geq 0$ and are included for convenience. The series (3.1) allows for a double pole at the origin which can easily be shown to be the only allowable singularity. The coefficients a_j are determined by equating to zero the coefficients of the powers of t^{2j} in the subsequent expansion of (1.2). These coefficients are of course functions of ζ_i as well as a_j. However each coefficient factorises into a product of homogeneous polynomials in ζ_i, independent of a_j, and a factor dependent on the a_j only. Equating this coefficient to zero successively determines a_j for all $j \geq 4$ in terms of a_0, a_1, a_2, and a_3 which are arbitrary. This process can be completed to any desired order, J, if the expansion (3.1) is truncated at a suitable finite value. Substitution of this finite polynomial into (1.7) shows for the cases where the method works, that for a suitable choice of $\{A,\ B,\ C,\ D\}$, (1.7) can be satisfied to any desired order.

The proof of the above statement is, of course, incomplete: the form of the general term, a_j, is not obtained and hence we cannot show that the full expansion (3.1) satisfies (1.7). This method works for $n = 2$ and $n = 4$, but for values of $n \geq 5$ the amount of algebra involved becomes so large that even a Maple calculation cannot handle the details. When $n = 3$, this method does not work completely in that it leaves a_4 arbitrary. Subsequent methods show that this is not the case and we will resolve this difficulty later.

It is interesting to note that the same procedure works for solutions of (1.1), although the assumption of evenness is not required. Hence we look for a solution

$$f(t\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j c_{j-2} (t\zeta)^{j-2}. \tag{3.2}$$

If we take $a_0 \neq 0$ the process automatically gives $a_{2j+1} \equiv 0$ producing the same even function as obtained via (3.1) for the solutions of (1.2). However, if we take $a_0 = 0$ then we find that $a_1 \equiv 0$ and (3.2) is then a Taylor series. We proceed as above to produce the coefficients a_j for all $j \geq 7$ in terms of a_2 to a_6 and show that (1.7) can be satisfied to any order. However, a_5 is not arbitrary and is given by

$$a_3\, a_5 = a_4^2. \tag{3.3}$$

This condition is automatically satisfied for all functions in the set (1.5a). However (3.3) is also equivalent to the condition ${\wp''}^2(d) = 12{\wp'}^2(d)/\wp(d)$, which is satisfied when d is one third or two thirds of any period of $\wp(z)$. This gives the required condition on the constant d appearing in (1.5b), when f belongs to this solution set.

Method 2: Obtaining a Series of Differential Equations.

An alternative method is to assume that one variable, for example x_1 is not small and write $x_1 = x$ and $x_i = t\zeta_i$, $2 \leq i \leq n+1$ together with (3.1), although x_1 can be replaced by any linear combination of the x_i, so long as n variables are scaled by t. The expansion of $f(x - t\zeta)$ then naturally produces coefficients of t^j, which are function of f and its higher derivatives. The coefficients of t^j, in the expansion of (1.2) when equated to zero, now yield differential equations which must be satisfied by $f(x)$ but contain the "arbitrary" constants, a_j.

For the case $n = 2$ the first equation is

$$a_0 f''' + 12a_1 f' - 12ff' = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

This proves to be sufficient to determine a differential equation for f in the sense that the elimination of the constants a_0 and a_1 by differentiation gives (1.8). The higher order coefficients of t^j produce equations similar to (3.4) but contain the constants a_j with $j \geq 4$ which are not arbitrary. In this case eliminating all the constants by differentiation will yield an equation which is still necessary but not sufficient to determine f. If we seek a series solution to (3.4) by looking for a series solution of the form $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j c_{2j-2} x^{2j-2}$ then we obtain $b_0 = a_0$ and $b_1 = a_1$, with b_2 and b_3 arbitrary. The coefficients $b_j, j \geq 4$ are then determined by these four constants, the recurrence relation being the same as in method 1. The equations which contain a_2 and a_3 also require $b_2 = a_2$ and $b_3 = a_3$ and so also reproduce the series (3.1).

This method is more complete than the previous method in that it does yield a necessary differential equation, namely (1.8), that all even solutions of (1.2) for $n = 2$ must satisfy. However we cannot prove that all the differential equations produced by the expansion are satisfied. However this is not a problem since it is easy to verify, by substitution, that all even solutions of (1.5b) satisfy (1.2) for this case.

Again the method can be adapted to obtain the solution of (1.1) which are not even, using (3.2) with $a_0 = a_1 = 0$. The procedure is the same except that because $f(\zeta)$ is not even we obtain differential equations containing $f(\zeta)$ and $f(-\zeta)$. When one of these functions is eliminated we can then show that either (1.6) or (1.8) is satisfied. However, the differentiations required to eliminate the constants a_j means that the necessary condition (3.3) is not recovered.

For the case $n = 3$ the situation is more complicated since the series of equations similar to (3.4) only produce necessary equations for f. In order to show that f satisfies (1.7) we need to take two equations similar to (3.4) and find the set of solutions common to both differential equations. The algebraic details are quite complicated and require a Maple calculation. The details are not repeated here but a summary of the results is given. A copy of the Maple programme which produces these results with further explanation can be obtained by contacting the first author.

The two equations, whose common solution satisfies (1.2), for $n = 3$ are given by (A1.1) and (A1.2) in appendix A. To determine the equation or equations for these common solutions we effectively eliminate the arbitrary constants a_0, a_1, a_2 and a_3. These constants are the ones that appear in the expansion (3.1) used to derive (A1.1) and (A1.2).

Before we derive these equations for the common solution we investigate the form of these solutions by looking for a common solution of the form (3.1) but with the constants a_j replaced by b_j. The result again shows that $b_0 = a_0, b_1 = a_1, b_2 = a_2$ and $b_3 = a_3$. However, initially b_4 is not determined but b_5 and b_6 are determined in terms of $b_j, j \leq 4$. However we get two different values of b_7 and equating these values give an equation which has two solutions, namely

$$b_3 = \frac{60\left(2b_1^2 - b_0 b_2\right)}{7b_0^2} \text{ or } b_4 = \frac{60 b_2^2}{b_0}\ . \tag{3.5a,b}$$

If we choose (3.5b) then in turn we find both equations require a common value for b_j for $j \geq 7$ and the series generates, as in the previous method, a solution of (1.7) or (1.8) and so belongs to the solution set (1.5).

However, if we choose (3.5a) to be satisfied then the two equations have different solutions for b_8 and equating these values determines b_4. This value differs from that given in (3.5b) and hence generates a solution $f(z) = f_1(z)$, with three arbitrary constants, which is not a solution of (1.7) or (1.8), unless

$$b_2 = \frac{6b_1^2}{5b_0}\ . \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to verify that when (3.6) is satisfied, any finite truncation of the series is equal to the same truncation of the solution

$$f_2\left(z\right) = b_1 \wp\left(\sqrt{\frac{b_1}{b_0}}z, 12, 8\right) + b_1. \tag{3.7}$$

This is a subset of the general solution of (1.8), which may be expressed as

$$f_2\left(z\right) = 3b_1/\sin^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{3b_1}{b_0}}z\right), \qquad or \qquad f_2\left(z\right) = -3b_1/\sinh^2\left(\sqrt{-\frac{3b_1}{b_0}}z\right),$$

depending on whether $\frac{3b_1}{b_0}$ is greater than, or less than, zero respectively. Since the choice of (3.5a) requires a specific choice of b_3 and hence a_3 it may be thought that this solution fails to be a solution of the full equation (1.2). However, as we will prove, this supposition proves to be erroneous.

We can also determine the equation for the common solution of (A1.1) and (A1.2) by effectively eliminating the arbitrary constants a_0, a_1, a_2 and a_3. The details are contained in the Maple programme referred to earlier and are not given here. This results in equation (A1.3) which factorises, so that f must satisfy one of the following equations

$$f' = 0 \text{ or } f = \text{constant}, \tag{3.8}$$

clearly a solution, but not one of interest, and

$$f'''f - f'f'' = 0 \text{ or } f''/f = \text{constant}. \tag{3.9}$$

We find that this equation only satisfies (A1.1) and (A1.2) if the constant appearing in (3.9) is zero. Thus the only even solution again is $f =$ constant. The third factor we recognise as equation (1.8) with solution (1.5b), with $d = 0$ for an even solution. The last factor is seen to be a fifth order ordinary differential equation which is cubic in $f^{(v)}$. It is easily verified that the solution $f = f_1\left(z\right)$ defined earlier by taking the choice of (3.5a) for b_3 satisfies this equation, (A1.4).

At first sight it would seem unlikely that we could obtain the most general solution of (A1.4), which is even and has a double pole at $z = 0$. However, in §7 we show that the Fourier transform of the non-periodic solution is simple and easily inverted to give

$$f\left(z\right) = a\cosh bz/\sinh^2 bz\ , \tag{3.10}$$

for arbitrary constants a and b. This coincides with the series for $f_1\left(z\right)$ obtained earlier as the solution of (A1.4) by choosing $a = 6b_1$ and $b = \sqrt{6b_1/b_0}$, although this requires the choice $b_2 = -7ab^2/60 \equiv -21b_1^2/5b_0$. With this knowledge, one may guess that the periodic solution are of the form $a\ \mathrm{cn}\left(bz,k\right)/\mathrm{sn}^2\left(bz,k\right)$ $\ a\ \mathrm{dn}\left(bz,k\right)/\mathrm{sn}^2\left(bz,k\right)$ or $a\ \mathrm{cn}\left(bz,k\right)\mathrm{dn}\left(bz,k\right)/\mathrm{sn}^2\left(bz,k\right)$, or the alternate forms given in theorem 1. These are all periodic equivalents of (3.10), where cn, dn and sn are the Jacobian elliptic functions and k the modulus. It is then possible to verify, by substituting into (A1.4) that the most general solution of this equation of the form required may be expressed as

$$f_1\left(z\right) = \sqrt{b_0^2 W^2\left(z\right) + 2b_0 b_1 W\left(z\right) - b_1^2 + \frac{5}{3}b_0 b_2}, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$W\left(z\right) = \wp\left(z, -\frac{20\left(b_0 b_2 - 3b_1^2\right)}{3b_0^2}, \frac{8b_1\left(5b_0 b_2 - 3b_1^2\right)}{3b_0^3}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

The quadratic in (3.11) has a double root when $6b_1^2 = 5b_0 b_2$ which corresponds to the condition (3.6) which gives the function $f_2\left(z\right)$, defined by (3.7). Thus $f_2\left(z\right)$ is the two parameter family of common solution to (1.8) and (A1.4), which includes the solution $b_0 z^{-2}$ in the limit $b_1 \to 0$.

If the equation for the $\wp$ function is written as

$$\wp'^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2\wp - g_3 \equiv 4\left(\wp - e_1\right)\left(\wp - e_2\right)\left(\wp - e_3\right), \tag{3.13}$$

in the usual notation, then the quadratic in (3.11) divides the cubic on the right hand side of (3.13). When e_1 and e_3 are the common roots (3.11) can be simplified to give the solution $f_1\left(z\right) = a\ \mathrm{cn}\left(bz,k\right)/\mathrm{sn}^2\left(bz,k\right)$ for suitable choices of a, b and k in terms of b_0, b_1 and b_2. When e_2 and e_3 are the common roots we recover the solution $f_1\left(z\right) = a\ \mathrm{dn}\left(bz,k\right)/\mathrm{sn}^2\left(bz,k\right)$ while the third choice $\left(e_1, e_2\right)$ gives $f_1 = a\ \mathrm{cn}\left(bz,k\right)\mathrm{dn}\left(bz,k\right)/\mathrm{sn}^2\left(bz,k\right)$.

4 The Fourier Transform Method

We now look at the problem of determining the solution of (1.1) and (1.2) using Fourier transforms. There are a variety of difficulties which are not immediately apparent. These will be treated as they arise. They include requiring generalised Fourier transforms for functions of x which are unbounded either at infinity or at points on the real axis, and the consideration of distributional solutions both of (1.3), (1.4) and of the transformed equation.

We first assume that the function f in (1.4) is not necessarily even but is bounded on the real axis. Then we define the double Fourier Transform $\widehat{F}(k,l) \equiv T[F](k,l)$ of $F(x_1,x_2)$ by

$$\widehat{F}(k,l) = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} F\left(x_1,x_2\right) e^{-ikx_1-ilx_2} dx_1 dx_2. \tag{4.1}$$

With

$$F\left(x_1,x_2\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left(f\left(x_1-x_2\right)f\left(x_3-x_1\right)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left(f\left(x_2-x_3\right)f\left(x_1-x_2\right)\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\left(f\left(x_3-x_1\right)f\left(x_2-x_3\right)\right), \tag{4.2}$$

we have

$$\widehat{F}(k,l) = ike^{-i(k+l)x_3}\widehat{f}\left(-l\right)\widehat{f}\left(-l-k\right) + ile^{-i(k+l)x_3}\widehat{f}\left(-k\right)\widehat{f}\left(k+l\right) - i\left(k+l\right)e^{-i(k+l)x_3}\widehat{f}\left(-k\right)\widehat{f}\left(l\right), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\widehat{f}\left(k\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x_1\right)e^{-ikx_1}dx_1$ is the Fourier transform of $f\left(x_1\right)$. When f and f' are bounded on the real axis it is clear that all terms in $F\left(x_1,x_2\right)$ are also bounded for all $\left(x_1,x_2\right)$ and hence $F\left(x_1,x_2\right) \equiv 0$, for all such bounded solutions f. Thus the appropriate equation to determine $\widehat{f}\left(k\right)$ is $\widehat{F}(k,l) = 0$, which gives

$$k\widehat{f}\left(-l\right)\widehat{f}\left(-k-l\right) + l\widehat{f}\left(k\right)\widehat{f}\left(k+l\right) - \left(k+l\right)\widehat{f}\left(-k\right)\widehat{f}\left(l\right) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

However when f has singularities on the real axis $F\left(x_1,x_2\right)$ is not defined at all points in the $\left(x_1,x_2\right)$ plane and we find that $F\left(x_1,x_2\right) = 0$, everywhere except on a set of measure zero. In particular for the even solutions of (1.5b) this set of points is the lattice $x_1 = x_3 \mod (\lambda)$ and $x_2 = x_3 \mod (\lambda)$, where λ is the real period of the function f. For such functions f we show, in §5, that $F\left(x_1,x_2\right) \not\equiv 0$. We also show in §5 that this means that $\widehat{F} = 0$ is not the appropriate equation and derive the correct equation.

Equation (4.4) is a rather complicated functional equation when $\widehat{f}\left(-k\right) \neq \widehat{f}\left(k\right)$. A Taylor series method produces a three parameter family of solutions. Two parameters are as a consequence of the fact that if $\hat{g}(k)$ is a solution so is $\widehat{f}\left(k\right) = a\widehat{g}\left(bk\right)$ for all constants a and b. This is as a result of the scaling symmetries of the original equation (4.4). So essentially there is a one parameter family of solutions. However, it is not easy to recognise the solution from its series. The method which appears to give the most simple solution is the following. We decompose $\widehat{f}$ into an even and odd function of the form

$$\widehat{f}\,(k) = f_1\,(k) + tkf_2\,(k)\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are even functions of k and t can be ± 1. Substituting this expression into (1.4) yields an equation of the form

$$A\,(k,l) + tB\,(k,l) + t^2 C\,(k,l) = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

and since t can be either ± 1 this gives two equations

$$A + C = 0 \;\text{ and }\; B = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Now we assume that l is small and expand (4.7a,b) as a power series in l. Equating the coefficients of the powers of l to zero gives a series of differential equations involving $f_1\,(k)$ and $f_2\,(k)$. ¿From the first two equations we obtain

$$2\,(f_2\,(k) - a_2)\,f_1\,(k) = k\;a_1\;f_2'\,(k) \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$ka_1 f_1'\,(k) + f_1^2\,(k) + k^2 f_2^2\,(k) - f_1\,(k)\,a_1 + 2k^2 a_2 f_2\,(k) = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$a_1 = f_1\,(0) \;\text{ and }\; a_2 = f_2\,(0)\,. \tag{4.10}$$

Eliminating $f_1\,(k)$ we obtain

$$a_1^2\left(2\,(f_2 - a_2)\,f_2'' - f_2'^2\right) + 4f_2\left(f_2^3 - 3a_2^2 f_2 - 2a_2^3\right) = 0 \tag{4.11}$$

and one integration yields

$$3a_1^2 f_2'^2 = 4\,(a_2 - f_2)\left(ca_2^3 + f_2^3 + 3a_2 f_2^2\right), \tag{4.12}$$

where c is an arbitrary constant. This constant is the third parameter referred to above. Examination of the cubic in (4.12) shows that for all (real) constants c other than $c = 0$ or -4 we have an oscillatory solution for f_2. These solutions must be rejected on the grounds that if the original function $f\,(x)$ is bounded, $\widehat{f}\,(k)$ must tend to zero as $k \to \pm\,\infty$. When $c = -4$, $f_2 \equiv a_2$ and (4.8) is automatically satisfied and (4.9) then yields

$$f_1(k) = \sqrt{3}a_2 k \cot\left(\sqrt{3}a_2 k/a_1\right). \tag{4.13}$$

Again this does not represent the Fourier transform of a bounded function $f\,(x)$. However, it does illustrate the general feature of all periodic solutions of (4.12). When $c \neq 0$ the solution of (4.12) with $f_2\,(0) = a_2$ is an elliptic function which is even. Clearly, when $c \neq -4$, $f_2(k) - a_2$ has zeros when $k = 0$ or an integer multiple of the period of f_2. Since (4.12) implies that $f_2' = 0$ and $f_2'' \neq 0$ when $f_2 = a_2$, at each zero other than $k = 0$, $f_1(k)$ has a simple pole. Hence f_1 has a periodic array of poles, apart from $k = 0$ where the singularity is removable. This feature is illustrated by the function appearing in (4.13).

When $c = 0$ we can solve (4.12) with $f_2\,(0) = a_2$ to get

$$f_2(k) = \frac{3a_2}{2\cosh 2\alpha k + 1}, \tag{4.14}$$

where $\alpha = a_2/a_1$, so that

$$f_1(k) = \frac{3a_2 k \cosh \alpha k}{\sinh \alpha k \, (2\cosh \alpha k + 1)}. \tag{4.15}$$

The two possibilities for $\widehat{f}\,(k)$ are then

$$\widehat{f}\,(k) = f_1\,(k) \pm k f_2\,(k)\,, \tag{4.16}$$

giving

$$\widehat{f_1}\,(k) = \frac{6a_2 k e^{4\alpha k}}{e^{6\alpha k} - 1} \;\text{ and }\; \widehat{f_2}\,(k) = \frac{6a_2 k e^{2\alpha k}}{e^{6\alpha k} - 1}\;. \tag{4.17}$$

If we normalise by choosing $6a_2 = \pi a_1$ and $a_1 = -2$, then $\widehat{f_1}$ is the Fourier transform of the function $1/\sinh^2\,(x - i\pi/3)$ and $\widehat{f_2}$ is the Fourier transform of $1/\sinh^2\,(x + i\pi/3)\,.$ Apart from the addition of a constant these are $\wp$ functions, with periods $(\infty, \pi)\,,$ and a double pole at the origin.

Thus, apart from the double pole at the origin and the shift by one third and two thirds of the imaginary period of the $\wp$ function there is a unique solution of (1.4) which is bounded on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and tends to zero at ∞. An interesting limit is $a_2 \to 0$ which gives $f_2 \equiv 0$ and $f_1 = a_1$. This is also seen to be the only solution of (4.8) and (4.9) when $a_2 = 0$, subject to the condition that $f_1\,(0) = a_1 \quad f_2\,(0) = a_2 = 0$ and $f_2 \to 0$ as $k = \infty$. The inverse of the Fourier transform $\widehat{f}\,(k) \equiv a_1$ is no longer a function, but the distribution $a_1 \delta\,(x)$ which can be viewed as the limit

$$a_1 \delta\,(x) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0,} -\frac{a_2}{\alpha \sinh^2\,(-x/\alpha + \pi i/3)},$$

where $\pi a_1 \alpha = 6a_2$.

The only other regular solutions of (1.4), on the real axis, are ones that are either not bounded at ∞ or are oscillatory. Such functions have distributional Fourier transforms. For example, the Fourier transform of $e^{i\alpha x}$ is $2\pi\delta\,(k - \alpha)$ and as a distribution $f = 2\pi\delta\,(k - \alpha)$ satisfies (4.4), since

$$\begin{aligned} &4\pi^2 k \delta\,(-l - \alpha)\;\; \delta\,(-k - l - \alpha) + 4\pi^2 l \delta\,(k - \alpha)\, \delta\,(k + l - \alpha) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - 4\pi^2\,(k + l)\, \delta\,(-k - \alpha)\, \delta\,(l - \alpha) \equiv 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

This is because $k\;\delta\,(-l - \alpha)\;\; \delta\,(-k - l - \alpha)$ is zero unless $l + \alpha = l + k + \alpha = 0$ or $k = 0,\; l = -\alpha$, when the coefficient of the product of δ functions is zero. A more formal proof can be obtained by defining the inner products $\langle u, v\rangle_{k,l}$ and $\langle u, v\rangle_k$ by

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{k,l} \equiv \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} u\; v\; dkdl \qquad \text{and} \qquad \langle u, v\rangle_k \equiv \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} u\; v\; dk, \tag{4.19}$$

with a similar definition for $\langle u, v\rangle_l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k\delta\,(-l - \alpha)\, \delta\,(-k - l - \alpha)\,,\; F\,(k, l)\rangle_{k,l} &= \langle \delta\,(-l - \alpha)\, \delta\,(-k - l - \alpha)\,,\;\; kF\,(k, l)\rangle_{k,l} \\ &= \langle \delta\,(-l - \alpha)\,,\;\; -(l + \alpha)\;\; F\,(-l - \alpha, l)\rangle_l \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Although this only shows that $e^{i\alpha x}$ is a solution of (1.4) by analogy we can also have $e^{\alpha x}$ although this does not have a Fourier transform, except formally by analytic continuation.

The Fourier transform of x is $2\pi i \delta'(k)$ and using (4.19) it is straightforward to prove that $k\delta'\,(-l)\, \delta'\,(-k - l) + l\delta'\,(k)\, \delta'\,(k + l)$ and $(k + l)\, \delta'\,(-k)\, \delta'\,(l)$ are identical distributions. For example

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (k + l)\, \delta'\,(-k)\, \delta'\,(l)\,,\;\; F\,(k, l)\rangle_{k,l} &= \langle \delta'\,(-k)\, \delta'\,(l)\,, (k + l)\, F\,(k, l)\rangle_{k,l} \\ &= \langle \delta'\,(-k)\,, -F\,(k, 0) - kF_l\,(k, 0)\rangle_k \\ &= -f_k\,(0, 0) - f_l\,(0, 0)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$\left\langle k\delta'\left(-l\right)\delta'\left(-k-l\right),\ F\left(k,l\right)\right\rangle_{k,l}+\left\langle l\delta'\left(k\right)\delta'\left(k+l\right),\ F\left(k,l\right)\right\rangle_{k,l}\ =-f_k\left(0,0\right)-f\left(0,0\right). \quad (4.22)$$

In addition we can also see that if $\widehat{f}\left(k\right)$ is not continuous $\widehat{f}\left(0\right)$ can be arbitrary since (4.4) is satisfied automatically when either $k,\ l$ or $k+l$ equals zero. In particular, we can add an arbitrary multiple of $\delta(k)$ to any solution of (4.4). This corresponds to adding a constant to any solution of (1.4).

The above distributional solutions cover the solutions of (1.5a) that do not satisfy (1.5b). To cater for the non even periodic solution of (1.5b) that are also bounded on the real axis, we assume that the solutions $f\left(x\right)$ are 2π periodic and write

$$f\left(x\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}a_pe^{ipx}. \quad (4.23)$$

The factor 2π is introduced so that the Fourier Transform takes the form

$$\widehat{f}\left(k\right)=\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}a_p\delta\left(k-p\right). \quad (4.24)$$

Introducing this expression into (4.4) and recognising that $\widehat{f}(k)$ is only non zero when k is an integer, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ka_{-L}\delta\left(-l+L\right)a_{-K-L}\delta\left(-k-l+K+L\right) &+ l\,a_K\delta\left(k-K\right)a_{K+L}\delta\left(k+l-K-L\right) \\ -\left(k+l\right)a_{-K}\delta\left(-k+K\right)a_L\delta\left(l-L\right) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

at all integers values of k and l. This is a distribution supported at $k=K$ and $l=L$ and is identically zero if

$$Ka_{-L}a_{-K-L}+La_Ka_{K+L}-\left(K+L\right)a_{-K}a_L=0, \quad (4.26)$$

for all integer values of $\left(K,L\right)$. Since $\widehat{f_1}(k)$ and $\widehat{f_2}\left(k\right)$ defined by (4.16) satisfy the continuous version of (4.26), it is clear that the solution $a_K=\widehat{f_1}\left(K\right),\ K\neq 0$ with a_0 arbitrary, will satisfy (4.26). It is also easy to construct the corresponding function $f\left(x\right)$ that satisfies (1.4). If $f_1\left(x\right)$ is the function whose Fourier transform is $\widehat{f_1}\left(k\right)$ then we define

$$g\left(x\right)=\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}f_1\left(x-2\pi p\right). \quad (4.27)$$

This function is 2π-periodic and has Fourier series $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum\limits_{K=-\infty}^{\infty}a_Ke^{iKx}$ where

$$a_K=\int_0^{2\pi}\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}f_1\left(x-2p\pi\right)e^{-iKx}dx=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f\left(x\right)e^{-iKx}dx=\widehat{f_1}\left(K\right). \quad (4.28)$$

The above solution for a_K can also be obtained directly from (4.26) by successively solving all equations with $1\leq\left|K\right|\leq N,\ 1\leq\left|L\right|\leq N$ for $N=1,2,3,...$, the equations where $K=0$ or $L=0$ being automatically satisfied. Apart from the fact that a_0 is undetermined and is thus arbitrary, this process, as in the continuous case, produces a three parameter family of solutions with a_{-2},a_{-1} and a_1 arbitrary. If we choose $a_{-1}=\beta\alpha^2/\left(\alpha^6-1\right)$ and $a_1=\beta\alpha^4/\left(\alpha^6-1\right)$ then the choice $a_{-2}=\beta\alpha^4/\left(\alpha^{12}-1\right)$ yields $a_K=\beta K\alpha^{4K}/\left(\alpha^{6K}-1\right)$, which is clearly equivalent to $\widehat{f_1}\left(K\right)$ when $\beta=6a_2$ and $\alpha=\exp\left(a_2/a_1\right)$. Since all continuous solutions $\widehat{f}\left(k\right)$ give a corresponding solution $a_K=\widehat{f}\left(K\right)$, via (4.28), we presume that other choices of a_{-2} give solutions for a_K which are oscillatory and do not tend to zero as $K \to \infty$. As in the continuous case this gives Fourier series which do not come from a continuous function of x.

It is also easy to show that there are additional solutions of (4.25). If the set $S_1 = \{a_K\}$ solves (4.26) then so does the set $S = \left\{\gamma^K a_K\right\}$ provided $\gamma^{3K} = 1$, for all integer values of K. This gives two additional solutions $\left\{e^{2\pi K i/3} a_K\right\}$ and $\left\{e^{4\pi K i/3} a_K\right\}$. If $f_1(x)$ is the 2π-periodic function whose Fourier series is given by the set S_1, then these two solutions are from the functions $f_1\left(x + \frac{2}{3}\pi\right)$ and $f_1\left(x + \frac{4}{3}\pi\right)$ that is the original function shifted by one third and two thirds of its period respectively.

In this section we have shown, that by requiring f to be bounded on any compact subset of the real axis, we can recover all the solutions of (1.5a,b) which have this boundedness property, by taking the the appropriate Fourier Transform of (1.4). We have also shown that there are no other solutions f which have this boundedness property. However by taking the appropriate limit we have also shown that the δ function is also a solution and have recovered this from the transformed equation. We have not obtained the $\wp$ function solutions, which have double poles on the real axis. This is because the transforms of these functions do not satisfy the transformed equation (4.4). The resolution of this problem is the topic of the next section.

5 Even Solutions

We now look at the solutions of (1.3) which correspond to the even solutions of (1.4). Equation (4.4) for the Fourier transform now reads

$$\left(k\widehat{f}(l) + l\widehat{f}(k)\right)\widehat{f}(k+l) = (k+l)\,\widehat{f}(k)\,\widehat{f}(l)\,. \tag{5.1}$$

Clearly if $\widehat{f}(k)$ is a function not identically zero then (5.1) implies that $k/\widehat{f}(k)$ is linear so that $\widehat{f}(k)$ is constant. This however only gives the distributional solution where $f(x)$ is a constant multiple of $\delta(x)$, although as a distribution $\widehat{f}(k) = a\delta(k)$ is also a solution so that $\widehat{f}(k) = a\delta(k) + b$ is a solution. These are the only even solutions obtained via this method.

However, we know that the $\wp$ functions, which have double poles at the origin, and in particular the function $1/x^2$ satisfy (1.3). We do not recover these solutions from (5.1) because in these cases the left hand side of equation (1.3) is not identically zero but acts as a distribution.

For example, if we substitute $f = 1/x^2$ into the left hand side of (1.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \quad = \quad & -\frac{2}{(x_1 - x_2)^3 (x_1 - x_3)^2} - \frac{2}{(x_1 - x_2)^2 (x_1 - x_3)^3} \\ & -\frac{2}{(x_2 - x_3)^3 (x_2 - x_1)^2} - \frac{2}{(x_2 - x_3)^2 (x_2 - x_1)^3} \\ & -\frac{2}{(x_3 - x_1)^3 (x_3 - x_1)^2} - \frac{2}{(x_3 - x_1)^2 (x_3 - x_2)^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

This expression is identically zero outside the neighbourhood of $x_1 - x_2 = 0,\ x_1 - x_3 = 0,\ x_2 - x_3 = 0$. For fixed x_3 this is the region outside the lines $x_1 - x_2 = 0$, $x_1 = x_3$ and $x_2 = x_3$. In Appendix B we show that (5.2) acts as a distribution supported only at the point $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$ in the (x_1, x_2) plane and can be represented by the distribution

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \pi^2 \Big(\delta'(x_1 - x_3)\,\delta''(x_2 - x_3) + \delta''(x_1 - x_3)\,\delta'(x_2 - x_3)\Big). \tag{5.3}$$

Hence if we take the Fourier transform of (1.4) assuming that f is even and has a double pole at the origin but is otherwise bounded, we have instead of $\widehat{F} = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F} \quad &= \quad \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^2} \pi^2 \big(\delta'(x_1 - x_3)\,\delta''(x_2 - x_3) + \delta''(x_1 - x_3)\,\delta'(x_2 - x_3)\big) x e^{-ikx_1 - ilx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \quad i\pi^2 kl\,(k+l)\,e^{-i(k+l)x_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Thus (4.4) becomes

$$\left(k\widehat{f}\left(l\right)+l\widehat{f}\left(k\right)\right)\widehat{f}\left(k+l\right)=\left(k+l\right)\widehat{f}\left(k\right)\widehat{f}\left(l\right)+\pi^{2}kl\left(k+l\right). \tag{5.5}$$

The Fourier transform of $1/x^2$ is $-\pi\left|k\right|$ and the identity

$$\left(k\left|l\right|+l\left|k\right|\right)\left|k+l\right|=\left(k+l\right)\left(\left|k\right|\left|l\right|+kl\right), \tag{5.6}$$

for all k, l ensures that the even functions $\widehat{f}\left(k\right)=\pm\pi\left|k\right|$ satisfy (5.5). We note here that if $\widehat{f}(k)$ satisfies (5.5) so does $-\widehat{f}\left(k\right)$. However, in addition to solving (5.5) we also require that the inverse $f\left(x\right)$ satisfies the condition that $x^{2}f\left(x\right)\rightarrow+1$ as $x\rightarrow0$. Thus although $\widehat{f}\left(k\right)=\pm\pi\left|k\right|$ satisfies (5.5) we must take the solution $\widehat{f}\left(k\right)=-\pi\left|k\right|$. However, $\widehat{f}\left(k\right)=\pm\pi\left|k\right|$ are not the only solutions of (5.5). Writing (5.5) as

$$\widehat{f}(l)\left(k\left(\widehat{f}(k+l)-\widehat{f}(k)\right)-l\widehat{f}(k)\right)+l\widehat{f}\left(k\right)\widehat{f}\left(k+l\right)=\pi^{2}kl\left(k+l\right) \tag{5.7}$$

and then dividing by l and taking the limit as $l\rightarrow0$ gives

$$a_{0}k\widehat{f}'\left(k\right)-a_{0}\widehat{f}\left(k\right)+\widehat{f}^{2}\left(k\right)=\pi^{2}k^{2}, \tag{5.8}$$

where $a_0=\widehat{f}\left(0\right)$ and the solution of (5.8) with $\widehat{f}\left(0\right)=a_0$ is

$$\widehat{f}\left(k\right)=\pi k\coth\left(\pi k/a_{0}\right). \tag{5.9}$$

This is the Fourier transform of the function

$$f\left(x\right)=-\frac{1}{4}a_{0}\left|a_{0}\right|/\sinh^{2}\left(\frac{a_{0}x}{2}\right). \tag{5.10}$$

Again, apart from the addition of a constant and a scaling of x this produces the unique $\wp$ function of imaginary period π and real period infinity.

For functions $f\left(x\right)$ which are 2π-periodic in addition to having a double pole of the form $1/x^2$ at the origin, we need to modify (5.3) and (5.4). The distribution $g\left(x_1,x_2,x_3\right)$ must be replaced by one which is repeated 2π periodically in x_1 and x_2 that is

$$g_{1}\left(x_{1},x_{2},x_{3}\right)=\sum_{p,q}C_{pq}e^{i\left(p\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)+q\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)\right)}, \tag{5.11}$$

so that

$$\widehat{g}=4\pi^{2}\sum_{p,q}C_{pq}\delta\left(k-p\right)\delta\left(l-q\right)e^{-i\left(p+q\right)x_{3}}, \tag{5.12}$$

where

$$C_{pq}=\frac{1}{4}pq(p+q)i. \tag{5.13}$$

Equation (5.5) now becomes

$$\left(k\widehat{f}(l)+l\widehat{f}\left(k\right)\right)\widehat{f}\left(k+l\right)=\left(k+l\right)\widehat{f}\left(k\right)\widehat{f}\left(l\right)+\sum_{K,L}\pi^{2}KL\left(K+l\right)\delta\left(k-K\right)\delta\left(l-L\right). \tag{5.14}$$

Again following (4.24) we write $\widehat{f}\left(k\right)$ in the form

$$\widehat{f}\left(k\right)=\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty}a_{p}\delta\left(k-p\right) \tag{5.15}$$

and obtain the following recurrence relation for the set $\{a_K\}$

$$(Ka_L + La_K)\, a_{K+L} = (K+L)\, a_K a_L + \pi^2 KL(K+L). \tag{5.16}$$

As in §4 with the recurrence relation (4.25), a_0 is arbitrary. Writing $a_K = \pi K b_K$ for $K = 1, 2, ...$ we obtain

$$(b_2 + b_K)\, b_{K+L} = b_K b_L + 1 \tag{5.17}$$

and with $b_1 = \dfrac{\beta+1}{1-\beta}$ and $L = 1$ we have,

$$b_{K+1} = \left(b_K\,(\beta+1) + 1 - \beta\right) / \left(b_K\,(1-\beta) + \beta + 1\right). \tag{5.18}$$

This is easily solved to get

$$b_K = \frac{1+\beta^K}{1-\beta^K} \text{ or } a_K = \pi\,|K| \left(\frac{1+\beta^{|K|}}{1-\beta^{|K|}}\right). \tag{5.19}$$

This reproduces the result that $a_K = \widehat{f}\,(K)$ at integer values of K where $\widehat{f}\,(K)$ is the continuous version. Also the Fourier series $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \pi\,|K| \left(\dfrac{1+q^{2|K|}}{1-q^{2|K|}}\right) e^{iKx}$ can be recognised as the Fourier series for the $\wp$ functions again up to the addition of a constant. Here $q = \beta^{1/2}$ is the usual notation for the nome.

The conclusion is that the only even solution of (1.4) are those of (1.5b) with $d = 0$.

6 The General Case

We now consider the even solutions of (1.2) for a general integer n. It will be convenient to write (1.2) as

$$g\,(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} \prod_{q\neq p} f\,(x_p - x_q)\,, \tag{6.1}$$

where f is even and $\mathbf{x}$ is the vector $(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n)$. We then define the n-dimensional Fourier transform $\widehat{g}(\mathbf{k}, x_{n+1})) \equiv T[g](\mathbf{k}, x_{n+1})$ of $g\,(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1})$ by

$$\widehat{g}\,(\mathbf{k}, x_{n+1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\,(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1})\, e^{-i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{x}} d\mathbf{x}, \tag{6.2}$$

as a generalisation of (4.1). Again there are problems with the double pole of f at the origin. Now however we find that g acts as a distribution over any plane through the origin and the singularities become more difficult to deal with. To overcome this difficulty we assume that the arguments of g are complex and we replace x_j by $x_j + i\epsilon_j$ where $\epsilon_{n+1} > \epsilon_n ... > \epsilon_1 > 0$ and assume that ϵ_{n+1} is small. In other words

$$g = \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} \prod_{q\neq p} f\,(x_p - x_q + i\,(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_q))\,. \tag{6.3}$$

We then assume that in the definition of $\widehat{g}$, (6.2), we integrate along the Real axis in the complex $x_j + iy_j$ plane. If the function $f\,(z)$ has double poles on the $x = Re\ z$ axis and no other singularities in the neighbourhood of the x-axis then provided ϵ_{n+1} is small enough, there will be no singularities of g within the domain of integration of the integral occurring in (6.2).

To calculate $\widehat{g}$ we first write $\xi_q = x_1 - x_{n+1} + i\left(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{n+1}\right)$ when $q = 1$, $\xi_q = x_1 - x_q + i\left(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_q\right)$ when $2 \leq q \leq n+1$, and $\eta_q = X_q + i\left(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_q\right)$, where $X_q = x_1 - x_q$. Noting that $\xi_1 = \xi_{n+1}$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int\limits_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} \prod_{q=2}^{n+1} f\left(\xi_q\right) e^{-i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{x}} dx^{m-1} dx_1 \\
&= \int\limits_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi_1) e^{-ik_1x_1} \left(\prod_{q=2}^{n} \int\limits_{x_q=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\xi_q\right) e^{-ik_qx_q} dx_q \right) dx_1 \\
&= \int\limits_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi_1) e^{-ik_1x_1} \left(\prod_{q=2}^{n} \int\limits_{X_q=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\eta_q\right) e^{ikq\left(X_q - x_1\right)} dX_q \right) dx_1 . \qquad (6.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Now since $\epsilon_q > \epsilon_1$ the singularities of $f\left(z_1\right)$, at $z_1 = i(\epsilon_{n+1} - \epsilon_1)$ and $f\left(z_q\right)$, at $z_q = i(\epsilon_q - \epsilon_1)$, $q > 1$, lie in the upper half plane.

For functions $f\left(z\right)$ which are analytic in the neighbourhood of the $Re\ z$ axis but have a pole at $z = 0$ we define Fourier transforms $\widehat{f}_U$ and $\widehat{f}_L$ by

$$\widehat{f}_U\left(k\right) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x\right) e^{-ikx} dx \qquad (6.5)$$

and

$$\widehat{f}_L\left(k\right) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x\right) e^{-ikx} dx, \qquad (6.6)$$

which are suitably indented to go above and below the singularity at the origin. With these definitions we have, in the limit as $\epsilon_{n+1} \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x_1 - x_{n+1} + i\left(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{n+1}\right)\right) e^{-i\left(\sum\limits_{q=1}^{n} k_q\right) x_1} dx_1 \prod_{q=2}^{n} \widehat{f}_L\left(-k_q\right) \\
&= e^{-i\left(\sum\limits_{q=1}^{n} k_q\right) x_{n+1}} \widehat{f}_L\left(\sum_{q=1}^{n} k_q\right) \prod_{q=2}^{n} \widehat{f}_L\left(-k_q\right) . \qquad (6.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the first term in the sum in (6.3) contributes a term ik_1I to $\widehat{g}$. A similar calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{g} = \Bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[k_j \left(\prod_{q=1}^{j-1} \widehat{f}_U\left(-k_q\right) \right) \left(\prod_{q=j+1}^{n} \widehat{f}_L\left(-k_q\right) \right) \right] \widehat{f}_L\left(\sum_{q=1}^{n} k_q \right) \\
- \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right) \left(\prod_{q=1}^{n} \widehat{f}_U\left(-k_q\right) \right) \Bigg) ie^{-i\left(\sum\limits_{q=1}^{n} k_q\right) x_{n+1}} \qquad (6.8)
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\widehat{g} = 0$ gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[k_i \left(\prod_{q=1}^{j-1} \widehat{f}_U\left(-k_q\right) \right) \left(\prod_{q=j+1}^{n} \widehat{f}_L\left(-k_q\right) \right) \right] \widehat{f}_L\left(\sum_{q=1}^{n} k_q \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right) \left(\prod_{q=1}^{n} \widehat{f}_U\left(-k_q\right) \right) = 0 \, . \qquad (6.9)$$

We now define the Fourier transform of $f(z)$ to be $\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{f}_U(k)+\widehat{f}_L(k)\right)$. This corresponds to the usual generalised definition of the Fourier transform of functions with non-integrable singularities. This also coincides with the use in §5. Also we have the result that the difference $\widehat{f}_L(k)-\widehat{f}_U(k)$ is $2\pi i$ multiplied by the residue of the functions $f(z)e^{-ikz}$ at the origin, assuming that the only singularity of $f(z)$ on the real axis is at the origin. We also make the assumption that $f(z)$ is an even function of z with a double pole of the form $1/z^2$ at the origin. Hence with

$$\widehat{f}(k)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\widehat{f}_U(k)+\widehat{f}_L(k)\right) \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$\widehat{f}_L(k)-\widehat{f}_U(k)=2\pi i\times \text{ Residue}\left(f(z)e^{-ikz}\right)=2\pi k, \tag{6.11}$$

we have

$$\widehat{f}_L(k)=\widehat{f}(k)+\pi k \tag{6.12}$$

and

$$\widehat{f}_U(k)=\widehat{f}(k)-\pi k. \tag{6.13}$$

Hence the equation $\widehat{g}=0$, (6.9) gives

$$S_n\equiv\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[k_j\prod_{q=1}^{j-1}\left(\widehat{f}(k_q)+\pi k_q\right)\prod_{q=j+1}^{n}\left(\widehat{f}(k_q)-\pi k_q\right)\right]\left(\widehat{f}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{n}k_q\right)+\pi\sum_{q=1}^{n}k_q\right) \\ -\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}k_j\right)\left(\prod_{q=1}^{n}\left(\widehat{f}(k_q)+\pi k_q\right)\right)=0. \tag{6.14}$$

This equation is to be regarded as an equation which determines $\widehat{f}(k)$ and must be satisfied for all $\{k_q\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Again we note that, by inspection, $\widehat{f}(k)=\pm\pi|k|$ satisfies (6.14) and we may also show that $\widehat{f}(k)=t\pi|k|$ satisfies (6.4) for the all values of t which satisfy $(1+t)^n(t-1)=(t-1)^n(1+t)$. Apart from $t=\pm1$, these are, $t=i\cot\dfrac{j\pi}{n-1}$, $j=1,\dots n-2$. However, to satisfy the requirement that the inverse $f(z)$ is such that $z^2f(z)\to1$ as $z\to0$ we require the solution above with $t=-1$. This problem recurs throughout §6 and §7 and we will assume that we only take the multiple of $\widehat{f}(k)$ which satisfies the criterion that it has the correct double pole either at the origin or at the sequence of double poles when $f(z)$ is periodic. With $m=2$ it is a simple matter to verify that $S_2=0$ is equivalent to (5.5).

The following lemmas prove useful in finding the complete solution to (6.14) for all n. We begin with a definition.

Definition 2 *For each n let*
$\mathcal{F}_n$ be the solution set of $S_n=0$,
$\mathcal{G}_n$ be the solution set of $S_n=0$ and $\widehat{f}(0)\neq0$.

Lemma 1 *For $n\geq4$ and even, $\mathcal{F}_n\subseteq\mathcal{F}_2$.*

Lemma 2 *For $n\geq3$, $\mathcal{G}_n\subseteq\mathcal{G}_2$.*

Proof of Lemma 1: In S_{n+2} we put $k_{n+2}=-k_{n+1}$ and using the fact that $\widehat{f}$ is even we find

$$S_{n+2}\Big|_{k_{n+2}=-k_{n+1}}=\left(\widehat{f}^2(k_{n+1})-\pi^2k_{n+1}^2\right)S_n. \tag{6.15}$$

The factor $\widehat{f}^2(k) - \pi^2 k^2$ produces the solutions $\widehat{f}(k) = \pm\pi |k|$ if $\widehat{f}$ is even. This function we have already shown (see (5.5), (5.6)) belongs to the solution set $\mathcal{F}_2$. Since the solution set $\mathcal{F}_{n+2}$ must be contained in the solution set of $S_{n+2}\left|_{k_{n+2}=1-k_{n+1}}\right.$, (6.15) shows that $\mathcal{F}_{n+2} \subseteq \left(\widehat{f}(k) = \pm|k|\right) \cup \mathcal{F}_n$. The result now follows by induction.

Proof of Lemma 2: In S_{n+1} we put $k_{n+1} = 0$ and obtain

$$S_{n+1}\Big|_{k_{n+1}=0} = \widehat{f}(0) S_n. \tag{6.16}$$

Again if $\widehat{f}(0) \neq 0$ the result follows by induction.

Definition 3 *Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the class of functions whose generalised Fourier transform $\widehat{f}(k)$ arise from functions $f(z)$ bounded on the real axis apart from a double pole of the form $1/z^2$ at the origin.*

This is the class of functions for which we have derived (6.14). We then have

Theorem 2 *For solutions with $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_2$ for all even n.*

Proof: By Lemma 1 $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$. In §5 we have proved that $\mathcal{F}_2$ is the one parameter family $\widehat{f}(k) = \pi k \;\coth(\pi k/a_0)$, together with its limit as $a_0 \to 0$, $\pm\pi|k|$. It is easily verified by substitution that these satisfy $S_n = 0$. This gives $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$. The result now follows.

Theorem 3 *For solutions with $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}_2$ for all n.*

The proof of theorem 3 follows by the same method as the proof of theorem 2. However we cannot exclude the possibility that there exist solutions of $S_n = 0$, with $n \geq 3$ and odd, which have $\widehat{f}(0) = 0$, but are not contained in the solutions of $S_2 = 0$. Before we look at the possibility of such solutions we consider the extensions of Lemma 1 and 2 and the corresponding theorems about the solution sets, to functions $f(z)$ which are periodic. This requires $f(z)$ to have a periodic array of double poles of the form $1/(z - 2n\pi)^2$ at the points $z = 2n\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Again we follow the method outlined in §4 and write $\widehat{f}(k)$ as in (4.24) and reproduce from $S_n = 0$ a similar equation with $\widehat{f}(k_q)$ replaced by a_{k_q}, which is equivalent to (4.26). The conclusions can be summed up in the following theorem, which incorporates the result of Braden and Byatt-Smith [2] for even functions as a special case.

Definition 4 *Let $\mathcal{B}_{2\pi}$ denote the class of functions whose generalised Fourier transform $\widehat{f}(k)$ arise from 2π periodic functions $f(z)$ bounded on the real axis apart from double poles at $1/(z - 2\pi n)^2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Theorem 4 *For $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}_{2\pi}$*

1. *The only even solutions of (1.2) with n even are those of (1.5b) with $d = 0$;*
2. *The only even solutions of (1.2) with n odd and for which $\widehat{f}(0) \neq 0$ are those of (1.5b) with $d = 0$.*

7 New Solutions

We now look at the solution of (1.2) which have $\widehat{f}(0) = 0$, to see if there are solutions which do not belong to the set $\mathcal{F}_2$. Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 can easily be adapted to prove that when n is odd $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_3$. We first find this solution set and then prove that when n is odd $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_3$. Hence we look for solutions of (6.14), with $\widehat{f}(0) = 0$, when $n = 3$. We wish to consider only even functions, but wish to include functions like $|k|$ which is not differentiable at $k = 0$. Hence we consider (6.14) defined on the subspace $k_q \geq 0$, $q = 1, 2$ and 3, with all derivatives at the origin defined by one sided derivatives. Thus in the interval $k \geq 0$, $|k|$ is defined as k with derivative 1 at the origin.

In (6.14) we write $k_1 = k$ and $k_2 = k_3 = l$ and let $l \to 0$. Then (6.14) gives

$$a_0 \left(a_0 k \widehat{f}' (k) - a_0 \widehat{f} (k) + \widehat{f}^2 (k) - \pi^2 k^2 \right) = 0, \tag{7.1}$$

where $a_0 = \widehat{f} (0)$. When $a_0 \neq 0$ this gives the same equation as (5.8) but is automatically satisfied when $a_0 = 0$. So in addition to the solution given in (5.9), which belongs to $\mathcal{F}_2$, we can also allow $a_0 = 0$.

When $a_0 = 0$ the next term in the expansion of (6.14) gives

$$a_1 \left(\widehat{f}^2 (k) - \pi^2 k^2 \right) = 0, \tag{7.2}$$

where $a_1 = \widehat{f}' (0)$. If $a_1 \neq 0$ then (7.2) gives $\widehat{f} (k) = \pm \pi |k|$ as the only even solution. This is also the solution of (5.9) when $a_0 = 0$ and also belongs to $\mathcal{F}_2$. Now if we assume that $a_1 = 0$, we can write the third term in the expansion of (6.14) as

$$2\pi^2 k \widehat{f}' (k) + a_2 \widehat{f}^2 (k) - 2\pi^2 \widehat{f} (k) = \pi^2 a_2 k^2, \tag{7.3}$$

where $a_2 = \widehat{f}'' (0)$. The only even solution of this equation is

$$\widehat{f} (k) = \pi k \tanh \left(\frac{k a_2}{2\pi} \right), \tag{7.4}$$

which automatically has $f'' (0) = a_2$. This of course is a necessary requirement and we need to check that this is a solution of (6.14).

We rewrite (6.14) as

$$\widehat{S}_n \equiv \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{k_j}{\widehat{f} (k_j) + \pi k_j} \prod_{q=j+1}^{n} \left(\frac{\widehat{f} (k_q) - \pi k_q}{\widehat{f} (k_q) + \pi k_q} \right) \right) \frac{\left(\widehat{f} \left(\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right) + \pi \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right)}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} k_j} - 1 = 0. \tag{7.5}$$

Substituting $\widehat{f} (k) = \pi k \tanh (ak)$ into $\widehat{S}_n$ gives

$$\widehat{S}_n = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(e^{2ak_j} + 1 \right) \prod_{q=j}^{n} \left(-e^{-2ak_q} \right) \right) \frac{\exp \left(2a \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right)}{\exp \left(2a \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right) + 1} - 1. \tag{7.6}$$

The first term can be written as

$$\widehat{S}_n^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j+1} - a_j \equiv a_{n+1} - a_1, \tag{7.7}$$

where $a_j = \prod\limits_{q=j}^{n} \left(-e^{-2ak_q} \right)$ so that $\widehat{S}_n^{(1)} = (-1)^{n+1} \exp \left(-2a \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} k_q \right) + 1$. Hence

$$\widehat{S}_n = \frac{\left((-1)^{n+1} - 1 \right)}{\exp \left(2a \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} k_j \right) + 1}. \tag{7.8}$$

This gives immediately $\widehat{S}_n \equiv 0$ whenever n is odd. Hence $\widehat{f} (k) = \pi k \tanh (ak)$, with a arbitrary, is a solution for all equations $S_n = 0$, when n is odd. It is also evident from (7.8) that this solution does not satisfy $S_n = 0$ for n even.

We also note that substituting $\widehat{f}\,(k) = \pi k \coth(ak)$ into (6.5) changes (7.6) to

$$\widehat{S}_n = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(e^{2ak_j} - 1 \right) \prod_{q=j}^{n} e^{-2ak_q} \right) \frac{\exp \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} (2ak_j)}{\exp\left(\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} 2ak_j \right) - 1} - 1. \tag{7.9}$$

The change in signs now means that $\widehat{S}_n \equiv 0$ for all n showing that $\widehat{f}_1\,(k) = \pi k \coth(ak)$, a arbitrary satisfies (6.14) for all n as indicated earlier.

If we write $a = \frac{1}{2}\pi/\alpha$ so that $\widehat{f}\,(k) = \pi k \tanh\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi k/\alpha\right)$ then this is the Fourier transform of the function $f\,(z) = -\alpha|\alpha| \cosh \alpha z / \sinh^2 \alpha z$, so α must be negative to satisfy the pole condition that $z^2 f\,(z) \to 1$ as $z \to 0$. However, since the coefficient of the double pole is in fact arbitrary we have $f\,(z) = \beta \cosh \alpha z / \sinh^2 \alpha z$ satisfies (1.2) for all odd values of n. This is the solution referred to in §2.

The even period solutions, which satisfy the modification of (6.14) when $f\,(z)$ has an array of double poles of the form $(z - 2p\pi)^{-2}$ at the points $z = p\pi$, $p = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$, can be written as

$$\widehat{f}\,(k) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} a_p \delta\,(k - p)\,, \text{ with } a_{-p} = a_p, \tag{7.10}$$

as in (4.24). Again, (6.14) is now to be satisfied at all integer values of $\{k_q\}$ with $\widehat{f}\,(k_q)$ replaced by a_{k_q}. Hence

$$\widehat{S}_n \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[N_j \prod_{q=1}^{j=1} \left(a_{N_q} + \pi N_q \right) \prod_{q=j+1}^{n} \left(a_{N_q} - \pi N_q \right) \right] \left(a_{\sum\limits_{q=1}^{n} N_q} + \pi \sum_{q=1}^{n} N_q \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} N_j \right) \left(\prod_{q=1}^{n} \left(a_{N_q} + \pi N_q \right) \right) = 0. \tag{7.11}$$

To solve $\widehat{S}_3 = 0$ we proceed as in §5. If a_0 is not equal to zero we can put $N_3 = 0$ and recover the solutions (5.19). However, if $a_0 = 0$ then $\widehat{S} \equiv 0$ for all N_1 and N_2 if $N_3 = 0$. Writing down all the equations for $N_j \geq 1$ it is easy to establish that if $a_1 = \pi\,(\beta + 1)\,/\,(1 - \beta)$ the odd terms are given by

$$a_{2N+1} = \pi\,(2N + 1)\,\frac{\left(1 + \beta^{2N+1}\right)}{1 - \beta^{2N+1}},\ N \geq 0, \tag{7.12}$$

as in (5.19) but the even terms depend on the choice of a_2 which must take one of the values

$$a_2 = 2\pi \frac{\left(1 + \beta^2\right)}{1 - \beta^2} \text{ or } 2\pi \frac{\left(1 - \beta^2\right)}{1 + \beta^2}. \tag{7.13}$$

The first choice gives

$$a_{2N} = 2\pi N \frac{\left(1 + \beta^{2N}\right)}{1 - \beta^{2N}},\ \ N \geq 0, \tag{7.14}$$

and the second

$$a_{2N} = 2\pi N \frac{\left(1 - \beta^{2N}\right)}{1 + \beta^{2N}},\ \ N \geq 0. \tag{7.15}$$

The result (7.13a) and (7.14) is equivalent to (5.19) while writing $\beta = -\tilde{\beta}$ (7.13b) and (7.15) is equivalent to

$$a_N = \pi \left|N\right| \left(\frac{1-\beta^{|N|}}{1+\beta^{|N|}} \right), \quad \text{for all } N. \tag{7.16}$$

This reproduces the result of (7.4) at integer values of k. The proof that $\{a_N\}$ satisfies $\widehat{S}_n$ for all n is identical to the proof in the continuous case, (see (7.5) - (7.8)).

The corresponding inverse $f(z)$, obtained from $\widehat{f}(k)$ can be constructed either by taking the Fourier inverse of $\widehat{f}(k)$ or by the infinite sum defined by (3.27) using the function $\beta \cosh \alpha z / \sinh^2 \alpha z$. As noted in §3, this function must be one of cn/sn^2, dn/sn^2 or cndn/sn^2. There are two reasons why there are three functions representing the solution set. The first is that if all the parameters defining the elliptic function are real then the transformation $z \to iz$ permutes these three functions according to Jacobi's Imaginary transformation $\mathrm{sn}(iz,k) \to i\ \mathrm{sn}\big(z, 1-k^2\big)/\mathrm{cn}\big(z, 1-k^2\big)$, $\mathrm{cn}(iz,k) \to 1/\mathrm{cn}\big(z, 1-k^2\big)$ and $\mathrm{dn}(iz,k) \to \mathrm{dn}\big(z, 1-k^2\big)/\mathrm{cn}\big(z, 1-k^2\big)$. Secondly, Jacobi's Real transformation defines the elliptic function for the parameter $k < 0$ or $k > 1$ in terms of elliptic functions with a scaled independent variable and parameter k in the range $0 < k < 1$. Again the effect is to permute the three functions.

The conclusion is that the even solutions of (1.4) with n odd fall into two categories. The set defined by (1.5b) with $d = 0$, which satisfy (1.8) subject to $z^2 f(z) \to$ a constant as $z \to 0$ and also the set which satisfy (A1.4) subject to the same condition at the origin. These solutions have been expressed in terms of the $\wp$ function and also in terms of the Jacobian elliptic functions and theorem 1 is proved.

8 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank A. M. Davie for helpful discussion and sharing his insight. One of the authors (H.W.B.) wishes to thank the Newton Institute for support during the completion of this work.

Appendix A

The expansion procedure, for $n = 3$, described in §3 yields the following two simultaneous equations (A1.1) and (A1.2) for the function $f\left(x\right)$, which satisfies (1.2)

$$120\, a_2\, f'\, f + 5\, a_0\, f'''\, f'' + 60\, f''\, f'\, a_1 - a_0\, f^{(5)}\, f = 0 \tag{A1.1}$$

and

$$504\, a_3\, f'\, f^2 + 1080\, f'\, a_2\, f''\, f + 36\, f^{(iv)}\, f'\, a_1\, f + 15\, f'\, a_0\, {f'''}^2 + 180\, f'\, {f''}^2\, a_1 + 180\, f'''\, {f'}^2\, a_1 - 3\, a_0\, f^{(v)}\, {f'}^2 + 360\, {f'}^3\, a_2 + 15\, f'\, a_0\, f^{(iv)}\, f'' - 60\, f''\, a_1\, f'''\, f - 12\, a_0\, f^{(iv)}\, f'''\, f + 240\, f'''\, a_2\, f^2 + a_0\, f^{(v)}\, f''\, f = 0. \tag{A1.2}$$

These two equations determine f in the sense that the complete set of solutions for (1.2) is contained in the complete set of solutions which satisfy both (A1.1) and (A1.2). The common set of solution to (A1.1) and (A1.2) satisfy the following equation

$${f'}^3\,(3\, f'''\, {f''}^2 - {f'''}^2\, f' - 3\, f'\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + f^{(v)}\, {f'}^2)\,(-f'\, f'' + f\, f''')(2000\, f^3\, {f'}^5\, {f''}^6 + 1250\, f^7\, {f'}^2\, {f'''}^5 - 18500\, f^2\, {f'}^7\, {f''}^5 + 50\, f^9\, {f'''}^4\, f^{(v)} - 250\, {f'''}^5\, f''\, f^8 + 63000\, f\, {f''}^4\, {f'}^9 - 3000\, f^7\, {f'''}^3\, {f''}^4 + 18\, f^9\, {f^{(v)}}^3\, {f'}^2 + 70875\, f^3\, {f'}^8\, {f'''}^3 + 22500\, f^5\, {f'}^5\, {f'''}^4 + 1692\, f^6\, {f'}^5\, {f^{(iv)}}^3 + 150\, f^9\, f'''\, f'\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f^{(v)} - 70875\, {f''}^3\, {f'}^{11} + 930\, f^8\, {f'}^2\, f^{(v)}\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f'' - 5220\, f^5\, {f'}^6\, f^{(v)}\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 2475\, f^6\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^4\, f^{(v)}\, f'' - 3300\, f^6\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^3\, {f''}^2\, f^{(iv)} + 32250\, f^3\, {f'}^7\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^3 - 10125\, f^3\, {f'}^8\, {f''}^2\, f^{(v)} - 27000\, f^2\, {f'}^9\, {f''}^2\, f^{(iv)} - 2875\, f^6\, {f'}^3\, f''\, {f'''}^4 - 9875\, f^5\, {f'}^3\, {f''}^4\, {f'''}^2 - 174375\, f^2\, {f'}^8\, {f''}^3\, f''' + 212625\, f\, {f'}^{10}\, {f''}^2\, f''' + 1875\, f^4\, {f'}^4\, {f''}^5\, f''' + 182250\, f^3\, {f'}^7\, {f''}^2\, {f'''}^2 + 31000\, f^3\, {f'}^6\, {f''}^4\, f''' - 1500\, f^5\, {f''}^6\, {f'}^2\, f''' - 93375\, f^4\, {f'}^6\, f''\, {f'''}^3 - 8000\, f^4\, {f'}^5\, {f''}^3\, {f'''}^2 + 4750\, f^6\, {f'}^2\, {f''}^3\, {f'''}^3 + 5625\, f^6\, f'\, {f''}^5\, {f'''}^2 - 2875\, f^5\, {f'}^4\, {f''}^2\, {f'''}^3 + 375\, f^7\, f'\, {f''}^2\, {f'''}^4 - 212625\, f^2\, {f'}^9\, f''\, {f'''}^2 - 60\, f^9\, {f'''}^2\, f'\, {f^{(v)}}^2 + 90\, f^9\, {f^{(v)}}^2\, {f''}^2\, f''' - 300\, f^8\, f'\, f^{(iv)}\, {f'''}^4 + 750\, f^8\, {f'''}^3\, {f''}^2\, f^{(iv)} + 150\, f^8\, f^{(v)}\, {f''}^3\, {f'''}^2 - 900\, f^8\, f'''\, {f'}^2\, {f^{(iv)}}^3 - 144\, f^8\, {f'}^3\, f^{(iv)}\, {f^{(v)}}^2 - 750\, f^7\, {f'''}^3\, {f'}^3\, f^{(v)} + 5190\, f^7\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^3\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 + 90\, f^7\, f'''\, {f'}^4\, {f^{(v)}}^2 - 1215\, f^7\, {f'}^3\, {f^{(iv)}}^3\, f'' - 441\, f^7\, {f'}^4\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f^{(v)} + 30\, f^7\, {f'}^3\, {f^{(v)}}^2\, {f''}^2 - 14250\, f^6\, {f'''}^3\, {f'}^4\, f^{(iv)} - 90\, f^6\, {f'}^5\, f''\, {f^{(v)}}^2 + 1875\, f^6\, {f'}^3\, {f''}^3\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 - 10125\, f^5\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^6\, f^{(v)} - 4185\, f^5\, f'''\, {f'}^6\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 - 1300\, f^5\, {f'}^4\, {f''}^4\, f^{(v)} + 1500\, f^5\, {f'}^3\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^5 + 2355\, f^5\, {f'}^5\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, {f''}^2 - 27000\, f^4\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^7\, f^{(iv)} + 4185\, f^4\, {f'}^7\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f'' - 9075\, f^4\, {f'}^5\, {f''}^4\, f^{(iv)} + 6375\, f^4\, {f'}^6\, f^{(v)}\, {f''}^3 - 1815\, f^7\, f'''\, {f'}^3\, f''\, f^{(iv)}\, f^{(v)} + 3240\, f^7\, f'''\, {f'}^2\, {f''}^2\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 + 750\, f^7\, f'''\, {f''}^4\, f'\, f^{(v)} - 750\, f^7\, {f'}^2\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^3\, f^{(v)} + 5220\, f^6\, f'''\, {f'}^5\, f^{(v)}\, f^{(iv)} + 300\, f^7\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^2\, {f''}^2\, f^{(v)} - 7500\, f^6\, f'''\, {f'}^2\, {f''}^4\, f^{(iv)} + 25\, f^6\, f'''\, {f'}^3\, f^{(v)}\, {f''}^3 - 12180\, f^6\, f'''\, {f'}^4\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f'' + 2865\, f^6\, {f'}^4\, f^{(v)}\, {f''}^2\, f^{(iv)} + 18600\, f^5\, f'''\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^3\, {f'}^4 - 8100\, f^5\, f'''\, f^{(v)}\, {f'}^5\, {f''}^2 + 120\, f^8\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^2\, f^{(v)}\, f^{(iv)} + 150\, f^8\, {f'''}^2\, f'\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f'' - 1080\, f^8\, f'''\, f^{(v)}\, {f''}^2\, f'\, f^{(iv)} + 120\, f^8\, f'''\, {f^{(v)}}^2\, {f'}^2\, f'' - 150\, f^9\, {f'''}^2\, f''\, f^{(v)}\, f^{(iv)} - 90\, f^9\, f'\, {f^{(v)}}^2\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 54000\, f^3\, f'''\, {f'}^8\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 20250\, f^4\, f'''\, {f'}^7\, f^{(v)}\, f'' + 63900\, f^5\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^5\, f^{(iv)}\, f'' + 250\, f^8\, {f'''}^3\, f^{(v)}\, f'\, f'' - 81900\, f^4\, {f'}^6\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^2\, f''' - 1875\, f^7\, {f'''}^3\, {f'}^2\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 975\, f^7\, {f'''}^2\, f'\, {f''}^3\, f^{(iv)}) = 0. \tag{A1.3}$$

Equation (A.13) has several factors. The only factor which provides solutions to (1.2), when$n = 3$ which are not of the form (1.5b) is

$$(2000\, f^3\, {f'}^5\, {f''}^6 + 1250\, f^7\, {f'}^2\, {f'''}^5 - 18500\, f^2\, {f'}^7\, {f''}^5 - 250\, {f'''}^5\, f''\, f^8 + 63000\, f\, {f''}^4\, {f'}^9 - 3000\, f^7\, {f'''}^3\, {f''}^4 + 70875\, f^3\, {f'}^8\, {f'''}^3 + 22500\, f^5\, {f'}^5\, {f'''}^4 + 1692\, f^6\, {f'}^5\, {f^{(iv)}}^3 - 70875\, {f''}^3\, {f'}^{11} - 3300\, f^6\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^3\, {f''}^2\, f^{(iv)} + 32250\, f^3\, {f'}^7\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^3 - 27000\, f^2\, {f'}^9\, {f''}^2\, f^{(iv)} - 2875\, f^6\, {f'}^3\, f''\, {f'''}^4 - 9875\, f^5\, {f'}^3\, {f''}^4\, {f'''}^2 - 174375\, f^2\, {f'}^8\, {f''}^3\, f''' + 212625\, f\, {f'}^{10}\, {f''}^2\, f''' + 1875\, f^4\, {f'}^4\, {f''}^5\, f''' + 182250\, f^3\, {f'}^7\, {f''}^2\, {f'''}^2 + 31000\, f^3\, {f'}^6\, {f''}^4\, f''' - 1500\, f^5\, {f''}^6\, {f'}^2\, f''' - 93375\, f^4\, {f'}^6\, f''\, {f'''}^3 - 8000\, f^4\, {f'}^5\, {f''}^3\, {f'''}^2 + 4750\, f^6\, {f'}^2\, {f''}^3\, {f'''}^3 + 5625\, f^6\, f'\, {f''}^5\, {f'''}^2 - 2875\, f^5\, {f'}^4\, {f''}^2\, {f'''}^3 + 375\, f^7\, f'\, {f''}^2\, {f'''}^4 - 212625\, f^2\, {f'}^9\, f''\, {f'''}^2 - 300\, f^8\, f'\, f^{(iv)}\, {f'''}^4 + 750\, f^8\, {f'''}^3\, {f''}^2\, f^{(iv)} - 900\, f^8\, f'''\, {f'}^2\, {f^{(iv)}}^3 + 5190\, f^7\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^3\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 - 1215\, f^7\, {f'}^3\, {f^{(iv)}}^3\, f'' - 14250\, f^6\, {f'''}^3\, {f'}^4\, f^{(iv)} + 1875\, f^6\, {f'}^3\, {f''}^3\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 - 4185\, f^5\, f'''\, {f'}^6\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 + 1500\, f^5\, {f'}^3\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^5 + 2355\, f^5\, {f'}^5\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, {f''}^2 - 27000\, f^4\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^7\, f^{(iv)} + 4185\, f^4\, {f'}^7\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f'' - 9075\, f^4\, {f'}^5\, {f''}^4\, f^{(iv)} + 3240\, f^7\, f'''\, {f'}^2\, {f''}^2\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 - 7500\, f^6\, f'''\, {f'}^2\, {f''}^4\, f^{(iv)} - 12180\, f^6\, f'''\, {f'}^4\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f'' + 18600\, f^5\, f'''\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^3\, {f'}^4 + 150\, f^8\, {f'''}^2\, f'\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f'' + 54000\, f^3\, f'''\, {f'}^8\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 63900\, f^5\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^5\, f^{(iv)}\, f'' - 81900\, f^4\, {f'}^6\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^2\, f''' - 1875\, f^7\, {f'''}^3\, {f'}^2\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 975\, f^7\, {f'''}^2\, f'\, {f''}^3\, f^{(iv)}) + (-750\, f^7\, {f'''}^3\, {f'}^3 - 1300\, f^5\, {f'}^4\, {f''}^4 - 10125\, f^3\, {f'}^8\, {f''}^2 + 150\, f^8\, {f''}^3\, {f'''}^2 - 10125\, f^5\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^6 - 441\, f^7\, {f'}^4\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 + 6375\, f^4\, {f'}^6\, {f''}^3 + 50\, f^9\, {f'''}^4 + 150\, f^9\, f'''\, f'\, {f^{(iv)}}^2 + 930\, f^8\, {f'}^2\, {f^{(iv)}}^2\, f'' - 5220\, f^5\, {f'}^6\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 2475\, f^6\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^4\, f'' + 750\, f^7\, f'''\, {f''}^4\, f' - 750\, f^7\, {f'}^2\, f^{(iv)}\, {f''}^3 + 5220\, f^6\, f'''\, {f'}^5\, f^{(iv)} + 300\, f^7\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^2\, {f''}^2 + 25\, f^6\, f'''\, {f'}^3\, {f''}^3 + 2865\, f^6\, {f'}^4\, {f''}^2\, f^{(iv)} - 8100\, f^5\, f'''\, {f'}^5\, {f''}^2 + 120\, f^8\, {f'''}^2\, {f'}^2\, f^{(iv)} - 150\, f^9\, {f'''}^2\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 20250\, f^4\, f'''\, {f'}^7\, f'' + 250\, f^8\, {f'''}^3\, f'\, f'' - 1815\, f^7\, f'''\, {f'}^3\, f''\, f^{(iv)} - 1080\, f^8\, f'''\, {f''}^2\, f'\, f^{(iv)})\, f^{(v)} + (-90\, f^9\, f'\, f''\, f^{(iv)} + 30\, f^7\, {f'}^3\, {f''}^2 - 144\, f^8\, {f'}^3\, f^{(iv)} - 90\, f^6\, {f'}^5\, f'' + 90\, f^9\, {f''}^2\, f''' - 60\, f^9\, {f'''}^2\, f' + 120\, f^8\, f'''\, {f'}^2\, f'' + 90\, f^7\, f'''\, {f'}^4)\, {f^{(v)}}^2 + 18\, f^9\, {f'}^2\, {f^{(v)}}^3 = 0 \tag{A1.4}$$

Appendix B

In this appendix we show that $g(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ given by (5.2) acts as a distribution. For convenience we write $x_1 = x,\ x_2 = y$ and $x_3 = 0$, so that we consider

$$g(x,y) = g_1(x,y) + g_2(x,y) + g_3(x,y), \tag{B1.1}$$

where

$$g_1(x,y) = \frac{-2}{(x-y)^2 x^3} - \frac{2}{(x-y)^3 x^2}, \tag{B1.2}$$

$$g_2(x,y) = \frac{-2}{y^3 (x-y)^2} + \frac{2}{y^2 (x-y)^3}, \tag{B1.3}$$

and

$$g_3(x,y) = \frac{2}{x^3 y^2} + \frac{2}{x^2 y^3}. \tag{B1.4}$$

We wish to prove that

$$g(x,y) = \pi^2 \left(\delta''(x)\,\delta'(y) + \delta''(y)\,\delta'(x)\right). \tag{B1.5}$$

To do this we first regularise integrals which have singularities, using the Hademard finite part interpretation of these integrals. We will see that this is consistent with the usual definition of generalised Fourier transforms used in §4 and also with the definition of $\widehat{f}(k)$ in terms of $\widehat{f_U}(k)$ and $\widehat{f_L}(k)$, see (6.10).

For a function $\phi(x)$, which is bounded in the neighbourhood of the origin, we define

$${}^{*}\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^2}dx = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\int\limits_{|x|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^2}dx - \frac{2\phi(0)}{\epsilon}\right) \tag{B1.6}$$

and

$${}^{*}\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^3}dx = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\int\limits_{|x|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x)}{x^3}dx - \frac{2\phi'(0)}{\epsilon}\right). \tag{B1.7}$$

When $\phi(x) = e^{-ikx}$ we have, from the above definitions

$${}^{*}\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ikx}}{x^2}dx = -\pi\,|k|, \tag{B1.8}$$

corresponding to the interpretation of the Fourier transform of $1/x^2$ in §3 and §5.

Now we let $\phi(x,y)$ be a test function and define

$${}^{*}\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x,y)}{x^2 (x-y)^3}dy = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\int\limits_{|x-y|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x,y)}{x^2 (x-y)^3}dy + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\frac{\phi_2(x,x)}{x^2}\right), \tag{B1.9}$$

where $\phi_2(x,y) = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{**}\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(x,y)}{x^2 (x-y)^3}dxdy = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Bigg(& \iint\limits_{|x|\geq\epsilon|,x-y|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi(x,y)}{x^2 (x-y)^3}dy \\ & + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\int\limits_{|y|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi(0,y)}{y^3}dy + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\int\limits_{|x|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi_2(x,x)}{x^2}dx - \frac{4}{\epsilon^2}\phi_2(0,0)\Bigg). \end{aligned} \tag{B1.10}$$

Similarly we define

$$
{}^{*}\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(x,y\right)}{x^{3}\left(x-y\right)^{2}} = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\int\limits_{|x-y|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi\left(x,y\right)}{x^{3}\left(x-y\right)^{2}}dy - \frac{2}{\epsilon}\frac{\phi\left(x,x\right)}{x^{3}}\right). \tag{B1.11}
$$

Now since

$$
\int\limits_{|x,y|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi\left(x,y\right)dy}{x^{3}\left(x-y\right)^{2}} = \int\limits_{|\eta|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi\left(x,\eta+x\right)d\eta}{x^{3}\eta^{2}} \equiv \frac{1}{x^{3}}I, \tag{B1.12}
$$

with

$$
\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} = \int\limits_{|\eta|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\left(\phi_{1}\left(x,\eta+x\right)+\phi_{2}\left(x,\eta+x\right)\right)d\eta}{\eta^{2}}, \tag{B1.13}
$$

where again $\phi_1\left(x,y\right) = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi\left(x,y\right)$, we can, with the use of (B1.17) define

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{**}\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(x,y\right)}{x^{3}\left(x-y\right)^{2}}dxdy &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \iint\limits_{|x|\geq\epsilon|x-y|,\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi\left(x,y\right)}{x^{3}\left(x-y\right)^{3}}dxdy \\
&- \frac{2}{\epsilon}\int\limits_{|\eta|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi_{1}\left(0,\eta\right)+\phi_{2}\left(0,\eta\right)dy}{\eta^{2}} - \frac{2}{\epsilon}\int\limits_{|x|\geq\epsilon} \frac{\phi\left(x,x\right)dx}{x^{3}} + \frac{4}{\epsilon}\left(\phi_{1}\left(0,0\right)+\phi_{2}\left(0,0\right)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B1.14}
$$

Using similar definitions for the remaining four integrals arising in $\iint \phi g$ we may define

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^{**}\!\!\iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \phi g dxdy = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\Bigg(&\iint\limits_{\Omega_1} \phi g_{1}dxdy + \iint\limits_{\Omega_2} \phi g_{2}dxdy + \iint\limits_{\Omega_3} \phi g_{3}dxdy \\
&+ \frac{4}{\epsilon^{2}}(\phi(\epsilon,\epsilon)-\phi(-\epsilon,-\epsilon)-\phi(\epsilon,0)+\phi(-\epsilon,0)-\phi(0,\epsilon)+\phi(0,-\epsilon))\Bigg)
\end{aligned} \tag{B1.15}
$$

This requires a good deal of simplification in integration by parts. The regions Ω_1, Ω_2 and Ω_3 appearing in (B1.15) are given by

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\Omega_1 = & \{|x|\geq\epsilon & , & |x-y|\geq\epsilon & , & |y|<\epsilon\} & , \\
\Omega_2 = & \{|x-y|\geq\epsilon & , & |y|\geq\epsilon & , & |x|<\epsilon\} & , \\
\Omega_3 = & \{|x|\geq\epsilon & , & |y|\geq\epsilon & , & |x-y|<\epsilon\} & .
\end{array} \tag{B1.16}
$$

This simplifies to

$$
{}^{**}\!\!\iint\limits_{R^{2}} \phi g dxdy = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3}\iint\limits_{\Omega_j} \phi g_{j}dxdy\right) + 4\phi_{xxy}\left(0,0\right) + 4\phi_{yyx}\left(0,0\right). \tag{B1.17}
$$

A lengthy, but straightforward calculation shows that the limit of the sum is

$$
\left(\pi^{2}-4\right)\left(\phi_{xxy}\left(0,0\right)+\phi_{yyx}\left(0,0\right)\right).
$$

Hence

$$
{}^{**}\!\!\iint\limits_{R^{2}} \phi g = \pi^{2}\left(\phi_{xxy}\left(0,0\right)+\phi_{yyx}\left(0,0\right)\right). \tag{B1.18}
$$

This confirms that the function $g\left(x,y\right)$ acts as the distribution given by (B1.5).

References

[1] H.W. Braden, *Rigidity, Functional Equations and the Calogero-Moser Model*, J. Phys. **A34**, 2197-2204 (2001).

[2] H.W. Braden and J.G.B. Byatt-Smith, *On a Functional Differential Equation of Determinantal Type*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **31**, 463-470 (1999).

[3] H.W. Braden and V.M. Buchstaber, *Integrable Systems with Pairwise Interactions and Functional Equations*, Reviews in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics **10**, 121-166 (1997).

[4] H.W. Braden and V.M. Buchstaber, *The General Analytic Solution of a Functional Equation of Addition Type*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **28**, 903-923 (1997).

[5] "Integrability: The Seiberg-Witten and Whitham Equations", H.W. Braden and I.M. Krichever (editors), Gordon and Breach 2000.

[6] M. Bruschi and F. Calogero, *General Analytic Solution of Certain Functional Equations of Addition Type*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21**, 1019-1030 (1990).

[7] V.M. Buchstaber and A.M. Perelomov, *On the functional equation related to the quantum three-body problem*, Contemporary mathematical physics, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **175**, 15-34 (1996).

[8] V.M. Buchstaber and I.M. Krichever, *Vector Addition Theorems and Baker-Akhiezer Functions*, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 94, 200-212 (1993).

[9] F. Calogero, *On a functional equation connected with integrable many-body problems*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 16, 77-80 (1976).

[10] F. Calogero, *One-dimensional many-body problems with pair interactions whose exact ground-state is of product type*, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **13**, $507-511$ (1975).

[11] B. A. Dubrovin, A. S. Fokas and P. M. Santini, *Integrable Functional Equations and Algebraic Geometry*, Duke Math. Journal 76, 645-668 (1994).

[12] Eugene Gutkin, *Integrable Many-Body Problems and Functional Equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **133**, 122-134 (1988).

[13] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars and H. Schneider, *A new class of integrable systems and its relation to solitons*, Ann. Phys. (NY) 170, 370-405 (1986).

[14] B. Sutherland, *Exact ground-state wave function for a one-dimensional plasma*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 1083-1085 (1975).

[15] "Calogero-Moser-Sutherland Models", Jan Felipe van Diejen and Luc Vinet (editors), CRM Series in Mathematical Physics, Springer-Verlag 2000.

[16] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis, Cambridge University Press 1927.